

Message

From: Vaughn, Stephanie [Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov]
Sent: 12/11/2018 8:16:40 PM
To: Sivak, Michael [Sivak.Michael@epa.gov]; Donovan, Betsy [Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov]; Kaur, Supinderjit [Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov]
CC: Yeh, Alice [Yeh.Alice@epa.gov]
Subject: RE: Today's Great Swamp Watershed Association Passaic CAG presentation - overview

Lol, Michael....

Thank you for the update, Betsy, and again, I really appreciate that you and Alice attended.

Regarding the RK hat questions, the CAG has asked to review the draft FS. We have been pushing back hard because the current draft is very poorly written and requires substantial revision. Reviewing it will just add to the confusion. I have told them this, and most seem to understand. We did agree to give them the next draft. All other documents are final.

We gave them an RI presentation at the CAG meeting on 12/3, and next steps will be an FS presentation and a risk presentation, or presentations – one on human health and one on eco. So we may be calling on you Michael...but I doubt there will be a continental breakfast served. Perhaps water, from the fountain.

From: Sivak, Michael
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 3:08 PM
To: Donovan, Betsy <Donovan.Betsy@epa.gov>; Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov>; Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>
Cc: Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Today's Great Swamp Watershed Association Passaic CAG presentation - overview

Thanks for the update!

(wearing my Passaic River hat): Yes, the Passaic is MUCH more contaminated than RK. It seems like the goal was for the RK CAG to hear how a well-run CAG works, which is helpful.

(wearing my RK hat): Yes, the Passaic is MUCH more contaminated than RK. I'm curious to see if the RK CAG wants more involvement with review of documents, and how that will play out. Has EPA been asked to present the CSM, results of the RI, HHRA/BERA process and conclusions, or anything else?

(wearing my "I love a good continental breakfast" hat): What was on the menu? What kind of cake?

Michael Sivak

sivak.michael@epa.gov
212.637.4310

From: Donovan, Betsy
Sent: Tuesday, December 11, 2018 2:59 PM
To: Vaughn, Stephanie <Vaughn.Stephanie@epa.gov>; Kaur, Supinderjit <Kaur.Supinderjit@epa.gov>

Cc: Yeh, Alice <Yeh.Alice@epa.gov>; Sivak, Michael <Sivak.Michael@epa.gov>

Subject: Today's Great Swamp Watershed Association Passaic CAG presentation - overview

Ana gave a great presentation and Alice skillfully answered a number of questions. Sally did not connect the RKL site to the Passaic site and mentioned that RKL was not nearly as polluted as the Passaic River. It was noted that the Passaic CAG formed much earlier in the remedial process. One RKL CAG member asked about reviewing draft versions of documents and the Passaic CAG does (and did) review draft versions of technical documents. Ana encouraged the CAG to reach out to elected officials and also to get wide variety of members. I did not get called on to answer any RKL questions, the focus was on the Passaic. The GSWA had a nice continental breakfast and they wrapped it up with a birthday cake for Ana.

FWS did not attend and I didn't recognize many RKL CAG members in attendance.